IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 4241 of 2005

Between:

M/s Editor Samagra Vartha Vara Partrika rep. by its Owner, Printer and Publisher
Vartha Vara Patrika, Sri Ch.H. Ramana Reddy, R/o.D.No.41-27-14, Reddy Nilayam,
Saw Mill road, Krishna Lanka Road, Vijayawad-13.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Sub-Collector and Sub Divisional Magistrate, Vijayawada, Krishna District,.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more specially one in the nature of writ of Mandamus by declaring the impugned action of the respondent herein in not passing appropriate orders for authentication of declaration filed before the respondent on 21.2.2005 as illegal, void and ab initio and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India besides violative of due procedures of Law as contemplated under the act and principles of natural justice and consequently to direct the respondent to pass appropriate orders for authentication of declaration dt,.21.2.2005 in pursuance of the orders passed in Appeal No.27/10/04-05-PRAB Dt.28.1.2005 and to pass such other or further orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.CHALAPATHI RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

-

-

ORDER:.

Heard both sides.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent is not passing any appropriate orders on the declaration filed by the petitioner for authentication of the same.

It appears that the petitioner is running the weekly newspaper and subsequently, the address of the newspaper had been changed and the same was informed to the respondent. But on the ground that the petitioner did not file the declaration regarding the change of place of printing, the respondent issued a notice-dated 12.1.2005, directing the petitioner to be present on 17.1.2005. Pursuant to the same, some litigation also took place before this court and also before the Pres and Registration Appellate Board and certain directions were also given. Later

on, it appears, the petitioner filed a declaration before the respondent and the same is still pending consideration.

In view of the same, the Writ Petition is closed, with a direction to the respondent to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and inform the same to the petitioner.

_____

D.S.R. VARMA, J

DATED: 14.03.2005

Ka

To

1 The Sub-Collector and Sub Divisional Magistrate, Vijayawada, Krishna District,.

2. 2 CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. (OUT)

3. 2 CD Copies.